

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/840,613	WHITED ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: PENDING

(1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

(2) Nathan O. Jensen(Reg# 41,460).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Formal changes to Figure 2, Item 210. Examiner suggested that the arrow for 210 point to the path rather than block 212. However, counsel argued that item 210 is described in paragraph 0012 to encompass a path which includes block 212.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)